

# Joan Hoff

<b>Name</b>	<b>Joan Hoff</b>
<b>Professional Occupation</b>	Professor of History
<b>Institution</b>	University of Montana
<b>Website</b>	<a href="http://www.montana.edu/wwwhi/joan_hoff.html">http://www.montana.edu/wwwhi/joan_hoff.html</a>
<b>Short Description</b>	Joan Hoff is research professor of history at the Montana State University. She has published mainly on US presidents. Hoff has been active as an expert witness in tobacco litigation from 1996 to 2012. She has been very active in Engle Progeny cases in Florida. Hoff has served as an expert witness for the tobacco industry in 31 cases. In a deposition taken in 2012 in New York on Park Avenue relating to <i>Narushka v. R.J. Reynolds</i> , Hoff acknowledged she had made around \$325,000 with her tobacco related work. <sup>1</sup>
<b>Education</b>	Ph.D.
<b>Major Fields</b>	Legal status U.S. women and Presidents
<b>Major Publications</b>	Faustian Diplomacy: From Woodrow Wilson to George W. Bush (2008), Too Little, Too Late: Changes in the Legal Status of U.S. Women (2000), The Nixon Presidency (1996)
<b>Number of Cases</b>	31

Case <sup>2</sup>	Year	Manner of Involvement
1. <i>Rogers v. R.J. Reynolds</i>	1996	Testimony
2. <i>Dunn v. R.J. Reynolds</i>	1997-1998	Deposition and Testimony
3. <i>Whiteley v. Raybestos-Manhattan</i>	1999-2000	Deposition and Testimony
4. <i>Conely v. R.J. Reynolds</i>	2000	Designated
5. <i>Tompkin v. American Brands</i>	2001	Deposition and Testimony
6. <i>Bradley v. R.J. Reynolds</i>	2008-2012	Deposition
6. <i>Douglas v. Philip Morris</i>	2008	Designated
8. <i>Grant v. R.J. Reynolds</i>	2008-2009	Designated

<sup>1</sup> Deposition of Joan Hoff, May 24, 2012, *Narushka v. R.J. Reynolds*. Westlaw reference: 2012 WL 3450343.

<sup>2</sup> Information on the cases is based on Proctor, Robert. 2012. *Golden Holocaust: Origins of the Cigarette Catastrophe and the Case for Abolition*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 461. & The following online databases: <http://legacy.library.ucsf.edu>, <http://tobaccodocuments.org>, <http://lawschool.courtroomview.com/experts>. & Westlaw. In Engle Progeny Cases many depositions and testimonies are reused in other cases instead of solely in the case where the actual testimony was given for the first time. I list these cases separately because in each one the deposition or testimony was submitted as important evidence and thereby it influenced the court and the jury.

<b>9. Putney v. R.J. Reynolds</b>	2008-2010	Direct and Cross-examination
<b>10. Raley v. R.J. Reynolds</b>	2008	Designated
<b>11. Sottosanti v. R.J. Reynolds</b>	2008	Designated
<b>12. Tullo v. R.J. Reynolds</b>	2008-2010	Deposition
<b>13. Wyrick v. R.J. Reynolds</b>	2008	Designated
<b>14. Barbanell v. R.J. Reynolds</b>	2009-2014	Deposition and Cross-examination
<b>15. In re: Engle Progeny cases<sup>3</sup></b>	2009-2014	Deposition
<b>16. Pagliarello v. R.J. Reynolds</b>	2009	Designated
<b>17. Rivera v. Philip Morris</b>	2009	Affidavit
<b>18. Gafney v. R.J. Reynolds</b>	2010	Deposition
<b>19. Smith v. R.J. Reynolds</b>	2010-2012	Deposition
<b>20. Buchanan v. R.J. Reynolds</b>	2011	Deposition
<b>21. Folks v. R.J. Reynolds</b>	2011	Designated
<b>22. Narushka v. R.J. Reynolds</b>	2011-2012	Deposition
<b>23. Rodehaver v. R.J. Reynolds</b>	2011-2012	Deposition
<b>24. Rudy v. R.J. Reynolds</b>	2011-2012	Testimony
<b>25. Larrabee v. R.J. Reynolds</b>	2012	Deposition
<b>26. Giddens v. R.J. Reynolds</b>	2012	Testimony
<b>27. Graham v. R.J. Reynolds</b>	2012	Deposition
<b>28. Hill v. R.J. Reynolds</b>	2012	Testimony
<b>29. Reider v. R.J. Reynolds</b>	2012	Testimony
<b>30. Vanblarcom v. R.J. Reynolds</b>	2012	Testimony
<b>31. Wilder v. R.J. Reynolds</b>	2012	Deposition

<sup>3</sup> In re: Engle Progeny Cases pertaining to Barnabanell, Tate, Rodehaver, Smith, Narushka, Brinson, Miller, Brown, Wilder, Bradley, and Rudy.